QGIS Application - Bug report #2154

Not correctly creating (or reading) proj file for CRS for EPSG 2193

2009-11-26 05:33 PM - mesajs -

Status: Closed
Priority: Low
Assignee: nobody -

Category: Projection Support

Affected QGIS version:

Operating System: Windows

Pull Request or Patch supplied:

Crashes QGIS or corrupts data:

Regression?: No

Resolution: fixed

Copied to github as #: 12214

Description

Problem occurs in QGIS version 1.3 and 1.4.

To reproduce:

- 1. Create project with CRS set to EPSG 2193 (NZGD2000/New Zealand Transverse Mercator 2000)
- 2. Use "New vector layer" to create a new shapefile.

Result: The new shapefile is added to QGIS with a "user defined coordinate system" with the following definition: $+proj=tmerc + lat_0 = 0 + lon_0 = 173 + k = 0.9996 + x_0 = 1600000 + y_0 = 10000000 + ellps = GRS80 + units = m + no_defs$

The .prof file created for the shapefile is attached.

Associated revisions

Revision b2970fb2 - 2009-11-29 05:33 PM - Jürgen Fischer

fix #2154 again:

- ogr provider doesn't create shapefiles without .shp extension anymore (OGR used to create a subdirectory in that case)
- automatically add .shp extension when using the new vector layer dialog

git-svn-id: http://svn.osgeo.org/qgis/trunk/qgis@12290 c8812cc2-4d05-0410-92ff-de0c093fc19c

Revision acde2443 - 2009-11-29 05:33 PM - Jürgen Fischer

fix #2154 again:

- ogr provider doesn't create shapefiles without .shp extension anymore (OGR used to create a subdirectory in that case)
- automatically add .shp extension when using the new vector layer dialog

git-svn-id: http://svn.osgeo.org/qgis/trunk@12290 c8812cc2-4d05-0410-92ff-de0c093fc19c

History

#1 - 2009-11-26 06:04 PM - Frank Warmerdam -

I'm not clear on what actual problem you are encountering. Is the .prj file you attached not one that was created by this process?

2025-04-27 1/6

#2 - 2009-11-26 06:47 PM - mesajs -

Replying to [comment:1 warmerdam]:

I'm not clear on what actual problem you are encountering. Is the .prj file you attached not one that was created by this process?

Yes, it is the one created by the process. The problem is that when QGIS loads the shapefile, it reports (according to the layer properties dialog) that the CRS is user defined. But it is not user defined, it should show as EPSG 2193.

I am also surprised that the .prj file has "D_unknown" as part of the DATUM definition. Would have expected something like NZGD2000, but then I don't really know what to expect here.

#3 - 2009-11-26 07:39 PM - Frank Warmerdam -

Tony,

OK, that helps me.

I have only limited familiarity with the mechanisms in QGIS for spatial reference system handling, though I'm quite familiar with PROJ.4 and the OGRSpatialReference class from GDAL (used to some extent).

I see the current "trunk" PROJ.4 expansion of EPSG:2193 in GDAL is:

+proj=tmerc +lat_0=0 +lon_0=173 +k=0.9996 +x_0=1600000 +y_0=10000000 +ellps=GRS80 +towgs84=0,0,0,0,0,0,0 +units=m +no_defs

This is similar to what QGIS used as a PROJ.4 string, except without the +towgs84 string indicating that the datum should be considered equivelent to WGS84. I'm not sure why that is missing, perhaps something is older in your QGIS environment.

This PROJ.4 string (in either form) provides no identifying information that would indicate the datum is NZGD2000 so there is no way to fill in the .prj file properly with a datum name. Likewise, there is essentially not enough information left to relate the .prj file back to EPSG:2193.

I **assume** QGIS is using the PROJ.4 string as a working representation of the spatial reference system, but this is a rather poor idea if it is desirable to relate things back to EPSG since PROJ.4 is quite inexpressive with regard to some details in the original EPSG definition or even the WKT definition.

I think I'll leave this for someone more savvy with QGIS coordinate system support to comment, but at things stand there is little to be done to make this work without significant code restructuring.

#4 - 2009-11-27 12:23 AM - Jürgen Fischer

Replying to mesajs:

Problem occurs in QGIS version 1.3 and 1.4.

1.4 meaning >= commit:83d6e0ca (SVN r12260)? This might be a duplicate of #2123.

#5 - 2009-11-27 01:40 AM - mesajs -

2025-04-27 2/6

Initial test was using R12236.

Have just downloaded R12266 and problem is still there. No towgs84 parameter appears in the .prj file, and the layer still loads as a user defined projection.

Tony

PS. Tried manually editing the .prj file, adding the towgs84 parameter. The layer then correctly shows in QGIS as EPSG 2193 (NZGD2000/NZTM2000). So problem is in the creation of the .prj file.

#6 - 2009-11-27 03:36 AM - cmoe -

Hi Tony

please try to give a full shapefile name with extension, eg. "myshapefile.shp" when asked in the dialog and report back.

For me I works this way. I does not work, if I only give the name ("myshapefile"). But I work under linux and not vista.

Jürgen: does the uri have an ".shp" ending when not using the name with extension? If not, this may be the problem as createEmtpyDataSource() in [[QgsOgrProvider]] tests for the last four characters of the uri and only then the prj files is overwritten.

regads

Cédric

#7 - 2009-11-27 04:50 AM - cmoe -

Replying to [comment:3 warmerdam]:

This is similar to what QGIS used as a PROJ.4 string, except without the +towgs84 string indicating that the datum should be considered equivelent to WGS84. I'm not sure why that is missing, perhaps something is older in your QGIS environment.

Hi Frank

AFAIK, Qgis uses QGR to create new vectorfiles/shapefiles by calling OGR_DS_CreateLayer. The ESRI Shapefile driver of OGR then strips out the towgs84 parameter in his morphToESRI() method. At least that's what I understand.

regards

Cédric

#8 - 2009-11-27 06:00 AM - Frank Warmerdam -

Cédric,

Yes, OGR does strip the towgs84 parameter when writing the .prj file. TOWGS84 is not a legal construct in an ESRI Projection Engine string (for .prj files). The Shapefile .prj format does not support preserving explicit datum shift values and doing so may make the .prj file unreadable in [[ArcGIS]].

This may have implications for #2123 as well though I did not follow all the details of that ticket.

In general, you cannot depend on OGR formats to preserve spatial references in very precise way. Different formats represent SRSes differently and may

2025-04-27 3/6

| or may not preserve specific information like datum names, datum shift parameters and EPSG associations. QGIS ought to accomodate this as best possible. |
|--|
| #9 - 2009-11-27 12:44 PM - mesajs - |
| Cedric |
| You are right. Providing an explicit extension does fix the problem. |
| Even stranger: If I do not give the extension, then QGIS creates a subfolder of the same name and places the shapefile components there. |
| EG. If I select folder "C:\\QGIS" and save as "myshapfile.shp" (explicit extension), then I get files "C:\\QGIS\\myshapefile.shp", "C:\\QGIS\\myshapefile.prj" etc. But if I select folder "C:\\QGIS" and save as "myshapfile" (no extension), the files are "C:\\QGIS\\myshapefile\\myshapefile\\myshapefile.shp" etc folder C:\\QGIS\\myshapefile is created. |
| Is this another bug? |
| Tony |
| #10 - 2009-11-28 08:15 AM - Jürgen Fischer - Status changed from Open to Closed - Resolution set to fixed |
| #11 - 2009-11-29 07:38 AM - cmoe Status changed from Closed to Feedback - Resolution deleted (fixed) |
| Hi Jürgen |
| [2] thanks for the fix but one little glitch: If the extension is not specified in the file dialog (eg. "myshapefile" and not "myshapefile.shp"), the shapefile is written to the directory "myshapefile" but the .qpj file is written one directory above. This way, Qgis is not aware of the .qpj file, if I load the shapefile again with "add Vector Layer". |
| #12 - 2009-11-29 07:46 AM - cmoe - |
| Hi Tony |

4/6

this is the behaviour of QGIS since I'm working with it, and I think this is intentional. ESRI Shapefiles may contain up to 9 or so different files, and I like it to

have them together in one folder.

2025-04-27

| On the other hand, working with the ftools, this doesn't happen. The ftools just write a created layer in the choosen folder and the given name without |
|--|
| creating any subfolder if the extension is missing. |
| Having a consistent behaviour wouldn't be a bad idea |
| |
| |
| Hm, I think I will file an enhancment on this, if there isn't one already. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| regards Cédric |
| |
| #13 - 2009-11-29 08:35 AM - Jürgen Fischer |
| - Resolution set to fixed |
| - Status changed from Feedback to Closed |
| |
| |
| Hi Cédric, |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| Replying to [comment:11 cmoe]: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| thanks for the fix but one little glitch: If the extension is not specified in the file dialog (eg. "myshapefile" and not "myshapefile.shp"), the shapefile is |
| written to the directory "myshapefile" but the .qpj file is written one directory above. This way, Qgis is not aware of the .qpj file, if I load the shapefile |
| again with "add Vector Layer". |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| should be fixed in commit:acde2443 (SVN r12291). |
| |
| |
| #14 - 2009-11-29 09:58 PM - mesajs - |
| |
| Replying to [comment:12 cmoe]: |
| Cédric |

?

2025-04-27 5/6

| test_proj.prj | 400 Bytes | 2009-11-26 | mesajs - |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Files | | | |
| | | | |
| Tony | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| | | | |
| Agio miliaoni addo dad tilo oxtoriolori | | | |
| 3. Print composer "save" dialogs for saving maps as image, polygis window does add the extension | df, or SVG do not add ext | ensions. But "Save as image" fi | rom the file menu on the main main |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 3. The qml file (save default layer properties) is added to level | above above the new sul | b-directory (also fixed in R1229 | 0???). |
| 2 | | | |
| | | | |
| 2. All dialogs should behave the same. Like ftools, "Save as sh | hapefile" (on layer menu) | does not create a sub-directory | , but Table Manager plugin does). |
| 2 | | | |
| | | | |
| Behavior should not depend on whether or not user types in | the .shp extension | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Trike the idea of raising an ermancement. Could be extended t | o cover other inconsisten | cies iri save dialogs. | |
| [] I like the idea of raising an enhancement. Could be extended t | ra agyar athar inagnaistan | oios in "sovo" dialogo: | |
| | | | |

test_proj.prj mesajs -

2025-04-27 6/6