QGIS Application - Feature request #1586 status of QGIS SONAMEs

2009-03-24 02:06 AM - Paolo Cavallini

Status:	Closed		
Priority:	Low		
Assignee:	Jürgen Fischer		
Category:	Build/Install		
Pull Request or F	Patch supplied:	Resolution: fixed	
Easy fix?:	No	Copied to github as #: 11646	
Description			
On the basis of a b	rief discussion about Qgis 1.0.x librar	es this is	
	view by Debian packagers about the		
Qgis SONAMEs.			
Currently 1.0.1 use	s		
Core library:			
SONAME	libqgis_core.so.1.0		
Non-core that depe	ends on core:		
SONAME	libqgis_gui.so.1.0		
SONAME	libqgispython.so.1.0		
Plugins that depen	ds on core/non-core:		
SONAME	libcoordinatecaptureplugin.so		
SONAME	libcopyrightlabelplugin.so		
SONAME	libdelimitedtextplugin.so		
SONAME	libdelimitedtextprovider.so		
SONAME	libdxf2shpconverterplugin.so		
SONAME	libgeorefplugin.so		
SONAME	libgpsimporterplugin.so		
SONAME	libgpxprovider.so		
SONAME	libgridmakerplugin.so		
SONAME	libinterpolationplugin.so		
SONAME	libmemoryprovider.so		
SONAME	libnortharrowplugin.so		
SONAME	libogrconverterplugin.so		
SONAME	libogrprovider.so		
SONAME	libpostgresprovider.so		
SONAME	libquickprintplugin.so		
SONAME	libscalebarplugin.so		
SONAME	libspitplugin.so		
SONAME	libwfsplugin.so		
SONAME	libwfsprovider.so		
	libwmsprovider.so		

That justifies the use of a 1.0 versioning of SONAMEs, but implies that debian/control uses the wrong name for libqgis*, which should be libqgis1.0

currently instead and libqgis1.1 for Qgis 1.1.x. It is due to avoid problems with selective upgrades and third-parties plugins (it is considered a serious bug FYI, because violates Debian Policy).

If ABI could change for each patchlevel, 1.x.y should be used in SONAMEs, and package names should change as consequence. So what's definitively required is fixing a roadmap for API/ABI changes, and following it, in order to allow distributors doing their work and avoid problems to other developers and users.

Same considerations apply to Python interface per se, IF both API and/or ABI changes could be expected independently on the C++ interfaces (e.g. if python interfaces changed more rapidly). In that case python related packages should declare their interface level, to avoid dangerous mixing with compiled objects. At least currently it is

NOT expected on the basis of current package style. But is this true?

Those are currently the major blockers to even *think* of having Qgis in Debian again. A well-defined policy need to be stated and followed.

History

#1 - 2010-06-11 12:22 AM - Paolo Cavallini

- Resolution set to fixed

- Status changed from Open to Closed

Now QGIS is in debian. Is this ticket still valid?