

QGIS Application - Bug report #14323

Checkbox "Control feature rendering order" is not honored

2016-02-17 12:41 PM - Sebastian Dietrich

Status:	Closed	
Priority:	Severe/Regression	
Assignee:		
Category:	Symbology	
Affected QGIS version:	master	Regression?: No
Operating System:		Easy fix?: No
Pull Request or Patch applied:	No	Resolution:
Crashes QGIS or corrupts data:	No	Copied to github as #: 22311
Description		
<p>The checkbox <i>Control feature rendering order</i> implies the user can enable or disable the sorting by the order-by-clause shown next to it. However the order-by-clause is used regardless of the status of this checkbox.</p> <p>Reproduce:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Check the checkbox- Define an order by clause- Uncheck the checkbox- Apply the changes- -> Rendering order is controlled by the order-by-clause		

Associated revisions

Revision 4285d70b - 2016-02-19 12:11 AM - Nyall Dawson

Fix "feature rendering order" checkbox is not honored (fix #14323)

History

#1 - 2016-02-17 01:01 PM - Nyall Dawson

I agree that this is bad UX. It's often desirable to be able to temporarily enable/disable features like sorting without losing the sorting expression.

#2 - 2016-02-17 03:03 PM - Sebastian Dietrich

- Priority changed from Normal to High

- Subject changed from Checkbox "Control feature rendering order" is checked without user interaction to Checkbox "Control feature rendering order" is not honored

| I agree that this is bad UX.

It is even worse. Note that I had to change the subject and description because **the checkbox is completely ignored**. Only the order-by-clause determines the rendering order, regardless of the checked-state of the checkbox.

I raised the priority to *Blocker* because I think a new feature must not come with such a misleading UI.

#3 - 2016-02-17 03:03 PM - Sebastian Dietrich

- Priority changed from High to Severe/Regression

#4 - 2016-02-18 01:51 PM - Sebastian Dietrich

- *Pull Request or Patch supplied changed from No to Yes*

See [PR 2809](#) for a solution. It is just a quick fix replacing the checkbox with a label and should act as a fall-back plan in case no better solution can be implemented before the upcoming release (7 days from now).

#5 - 2016-02-18 03:13 PM - Nyal Dawson

- *Status changed from Open to Closed*

Fixed in changeset commit:"4285d70b6c85b4600cf3f0e55a8408447272180c".