

QGIS Application - Bug report #13251

Qgis can't distinguish between EPSG 32188 and EPSG 2950

2015-08-21 09:03 AM - Marc-André Saia

| | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Status: | Closed | |
| Priority: | Normal | |
| Assignee: | | |
| Category: | Projection Support | |
| Affected QGIS version: | master | Regression?: No |
| Operating System: | | Easy fix?: No |
| Pull Request or Patch supplied: | No | Resolution: end of life |
| Crashes QGIS or corrupts data: | No | Copied to github as #: 21312 |
| Description | | |
| <p>Qgis can't distinguish between EPSG 32188 and EPSG 2950 when reading prj file (The same problem applies to MapInfo tab files). It seems that proj4 strings are identical but .prj files are not.</p> <p>To correct the situation, I have every time copy, paste and rename a file qpj from an other file to have the good projection.</p> | | |

History

#1 - 2015-08-23 11:33 PM - Jukka Rahkonen

The way to test with GDAL is to use gdaalsinfo:

```
gdalinfo epsg:2950 -o proj4
'+proj=tmerc +lat_0=0 +lon_0=-73.5 +k=0.9999 +x_0=304800 +y_0=0 +ellps=GRS80 +to
wgs84=0,0,0,0,0,0 +units=m +no_defs '
```

```
gdalinfo epsg:32188 -o proj4
'+proj=tmerc +lat_0=0 +lon_0=-73.5 +k=0.9999 +x_0=304800 +y_0=0 +ellps=GRS80 +to
wgs84=0,0,0,0,0,0 +units=m +no_defs '
```

Prj and WKT outputs are different in GEOGCS NAD83 vs. GEOGCS NAD83 (CSRS). You can change the projection code also from layer-properties, but does the change have any effect if the nadgrid file to use is not defined and also available to GDAL and QGIS?

#2 - 2015-08-24 07:34 AM - Marc-André Saia

Thanks for the information.

Yes, indeed, it is possible to manually correct the files projections by one or other of manipulation as possible. It's like fix something with tape that is not working as it should.

However, it would be perfect if Qgis could open the files with good projection automatically without anyone having to worry about it.

#3 - 2015-08-24 02:00 PM - Jukka Rahkonen

I do not know these projections at all, but I wonder if your fix by fiddling with the qpj files does really have any effect. The projections are basically the same. The only difference, if I understand it right, is that the one named NAD83 (CSRS) is newer and does not have local distortions which are corrected in the older projection with a nadgrids file. My GDAL 2.0 from gisinternals does not have nadgrid files defined for either of the projections which makes them to be just the same for GDAL.

I tested that ogrinfo finds different projections from your sample files. Then I made a test with gdaltransform:

```
gdaltransform -s_srs epsg:2950 -t_srs epsg:4326
313658.844100 5026941.160835
-73.3868787673789 45.3823557428898 0
```

```
gdaltransform -s_srs epsg:32188 -t_srs epsg:4326
313658.844100, 5026941.160835
-73.3868787673789 45.3823557428898 0
```

Same coordinates for both 2950 and 32188 which means that if you do not have correct gridshift files and adjusted Proj4 definitions for the projections you can as well use 2950 or 32188 throughout, or a mixture of both, because the end result will still be the same.

Do you have the nadgrid files "tnhp gn.las" for epsg:32188 as documented in <http://epsg.io/32188-1733> ? It seems that 2950 does not need gridshift files <http://epsg.io/2950>.

As I said, I have no experience on these projections and I may be all wrong.

#4 - 2015-08-25 07:19 AM - Marc-André Saia

- File *Nad83_vs_Nad83_scrs.pdf* added

Hi,

Although the MTM and MTM Nad83 Nad83 (CSIS) are equivalent for most purposes, they are not identical. The differences affect such digitized cadastral data with high accuracy. The following site provides coordinate offsets between the two systems. See page 4.

<https://www.mern.gouv.qc.ca/publications/territoire/outils/scrscrs.pdf>

I don't found "tnhp gn.las" in Gqis software files.

I found "tnhp gn.las" in other softwares files but they are not readable like a textfile.

#5 - 2015-08-25 07:58 AM - Jukka Rahkonen

I believe there are two issues. First one it that after reading data in EPSG:2950 and EPSG:32188 they do not distinguish. Another issue is that if you convert data from for example EPSG:4326 into 2950 or 32188 the result will be identical because QGIS/GDAL/Proj4 does not come with the "tnhp gn.las" file or something equivalent. I do not know if the format of that .las file is correct for those.

I do not know how to make custom CRS to utilize nadgrids with QGIS. Reading this ticket and the related ones may give some information #2913. I think that myself, if the accuracy counts, I would select either 2950 or 32188 and convert all my data into that with ogr2ogr. It understands nadgrids in Proj strings.

#6 - 2015-08-30 06:50 AM - Giovanni Manghi

- Target version deleted (Version 2.12)

- Affected QGIS version changed from 2.10.1 to master

- Assignee deleted (Giovanni Manghi)

Jukka Rahkonen wrote:

I do not know how to make custom CRS to utilize nadgrids with QGIS. Reading this ticket and the related ones may give some information #2913. I

think that myself, if the accuracy counts, I would select either 2950 or 32188 and convert all my data into that with ogr2ogr. It understands nadgrids in Proj strings.

You can create custom CRS in QGIS (that may include nadgrids definition), there is a menu entry in the "settings" menu. This is the easiest way if you just need to get high precision while reprojecting on the fly.

If you have a bunch of data in one CRS and you need to create copies in another CRS and there a nadgrid from that specific transformation, then the easiest way if to add this specific case to this plugin

https://github.com/NaturalGIS/ntv2_transformations

because then you can do batch transformation of many layers in one go.

#7 - 2015-08-31 06:08 AM - Marc-André Saia

Hi,

Thank you for your solutions.

For now , since the files arrive one by one, the simplest method of correction remains to copy and paste a good QPJ then rename it as the new file received.

The geometry need not be reprojected because it is already in MTM Nad 83.

#8 - 2017-05-01 01:06 AM - Giovanni Manghi

- Regression? set to No

- Easy fix? set to No

#9 - 2019-03-09 04:09 PM - Giovanni Manghi

- Resolution set to end of life

- Status changed from Open to Closed

End of life notice: QGIS 2.18 LTR

Source:

<http://blog.qgis.org/2019/03/09/end-of-life-notice-qgis-2-18-ltr/>

Files

| | | | |
|--------------------------|---------|------------|-----------------|
| Test.zip | 29.4 KB | 2015-08-21 | Marc-André Saia |
| Nad83_vs_Nad83_scres.pdf | 78.4 KB | 2015-08-25 | Marc-André Saia |